

Package ‘MCDASupport’

May 11, 2022

Type Package

Title Support functions for solving Multiple-criteria Decision-making Problems

Version 0.21

Date 2022-05-09

Author Pavel Šenovský pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz [aut, cre]

Maintainer Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Description Functions to process "outranking" using various methods existing in the literature.

Depends igraph, diagram, dplyr, stats, graphics

License GPL (>= 3)

NeedsCompilation no

Encoding UTF-8

Imports mathjaxr

RdMacros mathjaxr

RoxygenNote 7.1.2

R topics documented:

MCDASupport-package	2
ELECTRE1_Kernel	4
Electre3_ConcordanceIndex	5
Electre_1	6
Electre_1S	8
Electre_2	10
Electre_3	13
Electre_4	15
Electre_asc_dist	17
ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix	18
Electre_desc_dist	19
ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix	20
Electre_TRI	21
finalRanking	24
FuzzyTOPSIS	25
FuzzyVIKOR	27
Graph2AdjancancyMatrix	29
mcd_a_del_dominated	30

mcd_a_get_dominated	31
mcd_a_rescale_pm	32
mcd_a_wsm	33
norm_LaiHwang	34
norm_linearagreg	35
norm_logarithm	36
norm_markovic	37
norm_minmax	37
norm_nonlinear	38
norm_toaverage	39
norm_tobest	40
norm_TzengHuang	40
norm_vector	41
norm_ZavadskasTurskis	42
norm_zscore	43
plot.prfM	43
plot.scoreM	44
pre_order_matrix	45
PROMETHEE	46
PROMETHEE_I	48
PROMETHEE_II	50
PROMETHEE_III	52
rankDF	54
SIR	55
TOPSIS	57
util_pm_minmax	59
util_prepare_minmaxcriteria	60
VIKOR	61
VIKORIndexes	63

Index 64

MCDASupport-package *Functions for Solving Multiple-criteria Decision-making Problems*

Description

The outranking methods constitute one of the most fruitful approach in the field of Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM).

They main feature is to compare all feasible alternatives or actions by pair building up some binary relations, crisp or fuzzy, and then exploit in appropriate way these relations in order to obtain final recommendations.

This package contains functions to process ELECTRE methods existing in the literature. See, e.g., <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ELECTRE> about the outranking approach and the foundations of ELECTRE methods.

At present time package supports:

- ELECTRE I
- ELECTRE 1S (experimental implementation - do not use in production environment)
- ELECTRE II

- ELECTRE III
- ELECTRE IV
- ELECTRE TRI
- PROMETHEE I (experimental implementation - do not use in production environment)
- PROMETHEE II (experimental implementation - do not use in production environment)
- PROMETHEE III (experimental implementation - do not use in production environment)
- WSM - weighted sum method
- various normalization approaches (norm_* functions)

Main purpose of the package is to study inner workings of various methods used in multicriteria decision making and experiment with it. The provided functions may contain errors or the approaches represented by the functions may not be applicable to every decision problem. So be careful if you want to use the functions as actual decision support tool.

Authors of the package are not to be held liable for possible bad decision, you make, even if it is based on results of the functions contained in this package.

Details

Package: MCDASupport
Type: Package
Version: 0.11
Date: 2022-02-01
License: GPL (>= 3)

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

- Roy, B. (1996) Multiple Criteria Methodology for Decision Aiding, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- Roy, B. and Bouyssou, D. (1985). An example of comparison of two decision-aid models, in G. Fandel and J. Spronk (eds)
- Ballestero, E. and Romero, C. (1998) Multiple Criteria Decision Making and its Applications to Economic Problems, Boston-Dordrecht-London: Kluwer Academic.
- Vincke, P. (1992) Multi-criteria Decision-Aid, John Wiley, Chichester.
- Roy B. (1968) Classement et choix en presence de points de vue multiples (la methode Electre), Revue Francaise d Informatique et de Recherche Operationnelle.
- Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>
- Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7
- Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>

ELECTRE1_Kernel	<i>ELECTRE1_Kernel - computes so called kernell of the decision for ELECTRE I and 1S methods</i>
-----------------	--

Description

Computes kernell of the solution as the set of alternatives which are not dominated by any other alternative. Such alternatives then for example can be excluded from decision making as they are clearly suboptimal.

The results are presented in graphical form as network diagram with flows representing domination relation and two vectors with alternatives in kernel and dominated alternatives.

Usage

ELECTRE1_Kernel(am)

Arguments

am adjacency matrix or credibility matrix (1S)

Value

Returns:

graph	graphical representation of domination of one alternative over another
dominated	vector of alternatives identified as dominated
kernel	oposite to dominated vector. Consist for alternatives not dominated by other alternatives, forming kernel of the solution.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

See Also

ELECTRE I: [Electre_1](#)

ELECTRE 1S: [Electre_1S](#)

 Electre3_ConcordanceIndex

Electre3_ConcordanceIndex : Method used to compute concordance matrix for ELECTRE III and IS methods

Description

Internal method for computing concordance matrix for ELECTRE III and IS methods. Concordance matrix is one of two angles ELECTRE methods use to derive preference for the alternatives, the other being Discordance matrix.

Concordance matrix (index) measures strength of the statement that alternative a outranks alternative b, while discordance matrix (index) together with discordance threshold (exceeding this threshold) can prevent such outranking.

To compute overall concordance matrix, partial concordance matrix of the criteria needs to be computed first:

$$c_j(a, b) = 1 \text{ if } PM_j(a) + Q_j(a) \geq PM_j(b)$$

$$c_j(a, b) = 0 \text{ if } PM_j(a) + P_j(a) < PM_j(b)$$

$$\text{else } c(a, b) = \frac{PM_j(a) - PM_j(b) + P_j(a)}{P_j(a) - Q_j(a)}$$

$$C(a, b) = \frac{\sum w_j \cdot c_j(a, b)}{\sum w_j}$$

where

PM ... performance of alternative in criterion, Q ... indifference threshold, P ... preference threshold, w ... weights.

Usage

Electre3_ConcordanceIndex(PM, P, Q, w)

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named and criteria are expected to be maximized (you can use function <code>util_prepare_minmax</code> to do that).
P	preference threshold vector
Q	indifference threshold
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria.

Value

Returns computed concordance matrix.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

Roy B. : "The outranking approach and the foundations of ELECTRE methods", Theory and Decision 31, 1991, 49-73.

Vallée, D.; Zielniewicz, P. 1994. ELECTRE III-IV, version 3.x, Aspects Méthodologiques (tome 1), Guide d'utilisation (tome 2). Document du LAMSADE 85 et 85bis, Université Paris Dauphine

Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

See Also

ELECTRE III: [Electre_3](#)

ELECTRE 1S: [Electre_1S](#)

Examples

```
# the performance table
PM <- cbind(
  c(-14,129,-10,44,-14),
  c(90,100,50,90,100),
  c(0,0,0,0,0),
  c(40,0,10,5,20),
  c(100,0,100,20,40)
)
rownames(PM) <- c("Project1","Project2","Project3","Project4","Project5")
colnames(PM) <- c("CR1","CR2","CR3","CR4","CR5")
Q <- c(25,16,0,12,10) #Indifference thresholds
P <- c(50,24,1,24,20) #Preference thresholds
w <- c(1,1,1,1,1) #weights
v <- Electre3_ConcordanceIndex(PM, P, Q, w)
```

Description

The acronym ELECTRE stands for: ELimination Et Choix Traduisant la REalite (ELimination and Choice Expressing Reality).ELECTRE I method is then designed to rank reliability design scheme in order of decision maker preference.This method is based on the concept of concordance and discordance.

ELECTRE I does not provide rank, but allows the user to identify so called kernel of the decision - the alternatives, which cannot be eliminated from decision making as obviously inefficient, thus simplifying the decision making problem.

Technically the function implements approach authored by M. Balamurali in pyDecisions (in Python) and reimplements it in R.

Usage

```
Electre_1(PM,
w,
minmaxcriteria,
concordance_threshold = 1,
discordance_threshold = 0)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria.
minmaxcriteria	criteriaMinMax Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria. "min" (resp."max") indicates that the criterion has to be minimized (maximized).
concordance_threshold	parameter defining concordance threshold . The default value is 1. The user can set a new value between 0 and 1
discordance_threshold	parameter defining discordance threshold . The default value is 0. The user can set a new value between 0 and 1.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows :

PerformanceMatrix

A matrix containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion

ConcordanceMatrix

Concordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. For an outranking aSb to be validated, a sufficient majority of criteria should be in favor of this assertion.

DiscordanceMatrix

Discordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. The concept of discordance is complementary to the one of (concordance and

represents the discomfort experienced in the choosing of alternative a above alternative b

PreferenceMatrix

A matrix with computed preferences for the alternatives. Represents domination relation between the alternatives. Provides value 1 where for relation between alternatives where such domination exists

Kernel

Kernel consist of subset of non-dominated alternatives

Dominated

Identified clearly dominated alternatives.

GraphResult

Visualization of the preference matrix using network graph. A -> B means, that A outranks B.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

Examples

```
PM <- cbind(
  c(103000,101300,156400,267400,49900,103600,103000,170100,279700,405000),
  c(171.3,205.3,221.7,230.7,122.6,205.1,178.0,226.0,233.8,265.0),
  c(7.65,7.90,7.90,10.50,8.30,8.20,7.20,9.10,10.90,10.30),
  c(352,203,391,419,120,265,419,419,359,265),
  c(11.6,8.4,8.4,8.6,23.7,8.1,11.4,8.1,7.8,6.0),
  c(88.0,78.3,81.5,64.7,74.1,81.7,77.6,74.7,75.5,74.7),
  c(69.7,73.4,69.0,65.6,76.4,73.6,66.2,71.7,70.9,72.0))
rownames(PM) <- c("CBX16", "P205G", "P405M", "P605S", "R4GTL",
  "RCLIO", "R21TS", "R21TU", "R25BA", "ALPIN")
colnames(PM) <- c("Prix", "Vmax", "C120", "Coff", "Acce", "Frei", "Brui")
minmaxcriteria <- c("min", "max", "min", "max", "min", "min", "min")
w <- c(0.3,0.1,0.3,0.2,0.1,0.2,0.1)
M <- Electre_1(PM, w, minmaxcriteria,
  concordance_threshold = 0.8,
  discordance_threshold = 0.1)
```


Description

Method for supporting multicriteria decision making used to identify so called kernel of solution as set of alternatives which are not dominated by any other alternative. Dominated alternatives can be omitted from decision making as they clearly represent sub-optimal solution for the problem.

Method does not provide ranking.

Computationally the method can be seen as a hybrid of ELECTRE III and I methods. From ELECTRE III it takes concordance matrix computation as it works with fuzzy defined preference (P), indifference (Q) and veto (V) thresholds. From ELECTRE I method it takes procedure to identify kernel of the solution.

Usage

```
Electre_1S(PM, w, Q, P, V, minmaxcriteria = 'max', lambda = 0.5)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria.
Q	vector of indifference thresholds
P	vector of preference thresholds
V	vector of veto thresholds
minmaxcriteria	criteriaMinMax Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria. "min" (resp."max") indicates that the criterion has to be minimized (maximized).
lambda	parameter defining concordance threshold. The default value is 0.5, but the value can be in interval <0.5;1>.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows :

PerformanceMatrix

A matrix containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion

ConcordanceMatrix

Concordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. For an outranking aSb to be validated, a sufficient majority of criteria should be in favor of this assertion.

DiscordanceIndex

Discordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. The concept of discordance is complementary to the one of (concordance and represents the discomfort experienced in the choosing of alternative a above alternative b

CredibilityIndex

basically preference matrix as computed by ELECTRE I method. Represents domination relation between the alternatives. Provides value 1 where for relation between alternatives where such domination exists

Kernel	Kernel consist of subset of non-dominated alternatives
Dominated	Identified clearly dominated alternatives.
graph	Visualization of the preference matrix using network graph. A -> B means, that A outranks B.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

Examples

```
# the performance table
PM <- cbind(
  c(-14,129,-10,44,-14),
  c(90,100,50,90,100),
  c(0,0,0,0,0),
  c(40,0,10,5,20),
  c(100,0,100,20,40)
)
rownames(PM) <- c("Project1","Project2","Project3","Project4","Project5")
colnames(PM) <- c("CR1","CR2","CR3","CR4","CR5")
minmaxcriteria <- 'max'
Q <- c(25,16,0,12,10) #Indifference thresholds
P <- c(50,24,1,24,20) #Preference thresholds
V <- c(100,60,2,48,90) #Veto thresholds
w <- c(1,1,1,1,1) #weights
Electre_1S(PM, w, Q, P, V, minmaxcriteria)
```

Electre_2

Electre_2 : ELECTRE II method used to solve multiple criteria decision making

Description

The acronym ELECTRE stands for: ELimination Et Choix Traduisant la REalite (ELimination and Choice Expressing REality). ELECTRE II method is then designed for ranking purposes as opposed to ELECTRE I method which is useful for identification of kernel - as alternatives, which cannot be eliminated, are not obviously dominated by other alternatives.

ELECTRE II uses concordance and discordance indexes to construct two partial pre-orders by trying to formally describe so called strong and weak preferences between the alternatives. Strength in this regard is taken as strength of belief, that alternative a is better than alternative b.

Two partial preorders are then used in aggregation procedure to construct final partial preorder.

The code is partially inspired by code authored by M. Balamurali in pyDecisions (in Python) and reimplements it in R, though some portions of the function are solved differently in here. For example whole graph simplification process in here relies on functionality of iGraph package. This method also differently implements the final recommendation (total partial order).

Usage

```
Electre_2(PM,
  w,
  minmaxcriteria = 'max',
  c_minus = 0.65,
  c_zero = 0.75,
  c_plus = 0.85,
  d_minus = 0.25,
  d_plus = 0.5)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria.
minmaxcriteria	criteriaMinMax Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria. "min" (resp. "max") indicates that the criterion has to be minimized (maximized).
c_minus	first of three concordance threshold parameters. ELECTRE II method implements the concordance threshold as fuzzy triangle. Value is always between 0-1 and $0 \leq c^- \leq c^0 \leq c^+ \leq 1$, default 0.65.
c_zero	second of three concordance threshold parameters. ELECTRE II method implements the concordance threshold as fuzzy triangle. Value is always between 0-1 and $0 \leq c^- \leq c^0 \leq c^+ \leq 1$, default 0.75.
c_plus	third of three concordance threshold parameters. ELECTRE II method implements the concordance threshold as fuzzy triangle. Value is always between 0-1 and $0 \leq c^- \leq c^0 \leq c^+ \leq 1$, default 0.85.
d_minus	first of two parameters defining discordance threshold. Threshold is defined as range, where $0 \leq d^- \leq d^+ \leq 1$, default value 0.25.
d_plus	second of two parameters defining discordance threshold. Threshold is defined as range, where $0 \leq d^- \leq d^+ \leq 1$, default value 0.25.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

PerformanceMatrix

A matrix containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion

ConcordanceMatrix	Concordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. For an outranking aSb to be validated, a sufficient majority of criteria should be in favor of this assertion.
DiscordanceMatrix	Discordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. The concept of discordance is complementary to the one of (concordance and represents the discomfort experienced in the choosing of alternative a above alternative b
StrongOutranking	A graph, expressed as adjancancy matrix of alternative for which it was possible to establish strong outranking relation. (We strongly believe that alternative a is better then alternative b: aSFb).
WeakOutranking	A graph, expressed as adjancancy matrix of alternatives for which it was possible to establish weak outranking relation. Similar to strong outranking, only our belief of a outranking b is much weaker: aSfb.
firstTotalPreorder	first total preorder V1
secondTotalPreorder	second total preorder V2
finalPreorderMatrix	final partial preorder expressed as adjancancy matrix.
incomparableAlternatives	adjacancy matrix identifying the alternatives, which cannot be directly compared (are incomparable).
graphResult	plot of finalPreorderMatrix
finalPreorder	ordered vector of alternatives from best to worst, with identification of how many times was the alternative preffered to other alternatives.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

- Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>
- Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7
- Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

Examples

```
PM <- cbind(
  c(103000,101300,156400,267400,49900,103600,103000,170100,279700,405000),
  c(171.3,205.3,221.7,230.7,122.6,205.1,178.0,226.0,233.8,265.0),
  c(7.65,7.90,7.90,10.50,8.30,8.20,7.20,9.10,10.90,10.30),
```

```

c(352,203,391,419,120,265,419,419,359,265),
c(11.6,8.4,8.4,8.6,23.7,8.1,11.4,8.1,7.8,6.0),
c(88.0,78.3,81.5,64.7,74.1,81.7,77.6,74.7,75.5,74.7),
c(69.7,73.4,69.0,65.6,76.4,73.6,66.2,71.7,70.9,72.0))
rownames(PM) <- c("CBX16", "P205G", "P405M", "P605S",
  "R4GTL", "RCLIO", "R21TS", "R21TU", "R25BA", "ALPIN")
colnames(PM) <- c("Prix", "Vmax", "C120", "Coff", "Acce", "Frei", "Brui")
minmaxcriteria <-c("min", "max", "min", "max", "min", "min", "min")
w <- c(0.3,0.1,0.3,0.2,0.1,0.2,0.1)
M <- Electre_2(PM, w, minmaxcriteria)

```

Electre_3

*ELECTRE III method for ranking alternatives***Description**

ELECTRE III method aims to answer the following question: considering a finite set of actions, A, evaluated on a coherent family of pseudo-criteria, F, how to make a partition of A in classes of equivalence and provide a necessarily complete pre-order expressing the relative position of these classes? In the first phase, ELECTRE III method involves the construction of a fuzzy outranking relation.

In the second phase, an algorithm is used for making a ranking in a final partial pre-order, that combines two complete pre-orders.

This implementation presents simplified version of the method using single value alpha, beta parameters. If more complex approach to ELECTRE III computation is required use *OutrankingTools* package for R, which provides variant allowing such computations.

Usage

```

Electre_3(PM, w, P, Q, V, minmaxcriteria = 'max', alpha = 0.3,
beta = 0.15, VERBOSE = F)

```

Arguments

PM	Performance matrix as matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Data frame with named columns (criteria) and rows (alternatives) is preferred.
w	vector of weights. Number of weights must be equal to number of criteria used in decision making.
P	Preference threshold - Vector containing the preference thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
Q	Indifference threshold - Vector containing the indifference thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
V	Veto threshold - Vector containing the veto thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
minmaxcriteria	Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria either min or max. If all criteria are to be minimized or maximized, single min resp. max value can be used.
alpha	alpha and beta coefficients are used in downward and upward distillation procedure as well as final ranking procedure to construct orders. Both coef. are used iteratively change thresholds limiting evaluation of the outranking relations between the alternatives.

beta	see alpha. Preset values of alpha = 0.3 and beta = 0.15 are set as per Vallee and Zielniewicz (1994). This version of method allows only to set single value for the purpose.
VERBOSE	Boolean value switching on/off verbose mode. If switched on method provides broder set of information on computations, which can be usefel when exploring some detected anomalies in recomendations or as part of study of the ELECTRE III behavior.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

PM	Performance Matrix - A matrix containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion
cm	Concordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. For an outranking aSb to be validated, a sufficient majority of criteria should be in favor of this assertion.
DiscordanceMatrixCriteria	Discordance matrix is one of two working relations (concordance and discordance) which are subsequently used to construct the final dominance relation. The concept of discordance is complementary to the one of (concordance and represents the discomfort experienced in the choosing of alternative a above alternative b. In comparison with ELECTRE I or II methods discordance matrix for ELECTRE III is computed separately for every criterion.
CredibilityMatrix	matrix assessing the strength of the assertion that a is at least as good as b.
rank_D	Descending distillation ranking - final partial preorder orders the alternatives from the best to the worst.
rank_A	Ascending distillation ranking - final partial preorder orders the alternatives from worst to best.
rank_P	Pre-order matrix specifying identified relations between the alternatives - values are P+ (a preferred to b), P- (b preferred to a), I (indifferent), R (incomparable)
adjancancyMatrix	Adjacency Matrix allows to visualize results as network diagram
graph	processed adajncency matrix into network digram.
final_ranking	final order of the alternatives.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

- Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>
- Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7
- Roy B. : "The outranking approach and the foundations of ELECTRE methods", Theory and Decision 31, 1991, 49-73.

Vallée, D.; Zielniewicz, P. 1994. ELECTRE III-IV, version 3.x, Aspects Méthodologiques (tome 1), Guide d'utilisation (tome 2). Document du LAMSADE 85 et 85bis, Université Paris Dauphine

Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

Examples

```
# the performance table
PM <- cbind(
  c(-14,129,-10,44,-14),
  c(90,100,50,90,100),
  c(0,0,0,0,0),
  c(40,0,10,5,20),
  c(100,0,100,20,40)
)
rownames(PM) <- c("Project1","Project2","Project3","Project4","Project5")
colnames(PM) <- c("CR1","CR2","CR3","CR4","CR5")
minmaxcriteria <- 'max'
Q <- c(25,16,0,12,10) #Indifference thresholds
P <- c(50,24,1,24,20) #Preference thresholds
V <- c(100,60,2,48,90) #Veto thresholds
w <- c(1,1,1,1,1) #weights
Electre_3(PM, w, P, Q, V, minmaxcriteria)
```

Electre_4

ELECTRE IV method for ranking alternatives

Description

ELECTRE IV is alternative ranking approach based on ELECTRE III method, but without using any weighting of the criteria. Since weighting is required for concordance and discordance matrixes, these are not available in ELECTRE IV. Instead method uses more complex system of outranking relations:

- $mp(b,a)$ - number of criteria for which option b is strictly preferred to a
- $mq(b,a)$ - number of criteria for which option b is weakly preferred to a
- $mj(b,a)$ - number of criteria for which option b is judged indifferent to a
- $mo(b,a) = mo(a,b)$ - number of criteria on which options a and b perform identically

These are used as a base for computation of credibility matrix. Remaining computations are same as for ELECTRE III methods including construction of descending and ascending pre-order and deriving final outranking relation.

Usage

```
Electre_4(PM, P, Q, V, minmaxcriteria = 'max')
```

Arguments

PM	Performance matrix as matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Data frame with named columns (criteria) and rows (alternatives) is preferred.
P	Preference threshold - Vector containing the preference thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
Q	Indifference threshold - Vector containing the indifference thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
V	Veto threshold - Vector containing the veto thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
minmaxcriteria	Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria ("min" or "max"). If all criteria are to be minimized or maximized, single min resp. max value can be used.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

PM	Performance Matrix - A matrix containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion
CredibilityMatrix	matrix assessing the strength of the assertion that "a is at least as good as b".
rank_D	Descending distillation ranking - final partial preorder orders the alternatives from the best to the worst.
rank_A	Ascending distillation ranking - final partial preorder orders the alternatives from worst to best.
rank_P	Pre-order matrix specifying identified relations between the alternatives - values are P+ (a preferred to b), P- (b preferred to a), I (indifferent), R (incomparable)
adjancancyMatrix	Adjancency Matrix allows to visualize results as network diagram
graph	processed adajncency matrix into network digram.
final_ranking	final order of the alternatives.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

- Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>
- Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7
- Roy B. : "The outranking approach and the foundations of ELECTRE methods", Theory and Decision 31, 1991, 49-73.
- Vallée, D.; Zielniewicz, P. 1994. ELECTRE III-IV, version 3.x, Aspects Méthodologiques (tome 1), Guide d'utilisation (tome 2). Document du LAMSADE 85 et 85bis, Université Paris Dauphine
- Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

Examples

```
# the performance table
PM <- cbind(
  c(-14,129,-10,44,-14),
  c(90,100,50,90,100),
  c(0,0,0,0,0),
  c(40,0,10,5,20),
  c(100,0,100,20,40)
)
rownames(PM) <- c("Project1","Project2","Project3","Project4","Project5")
colnames(PM) <- c("CR1","CR2","CR3","CR4","CR5")
minmaxcriteria <- 'max'
Q <- c(25,16,0,12,10) #Indifference thresholds
P <- c(50,24,1,24,20) #Preference thresholds
V <- c(100,60,2,48,90) #Veto thresholds
Electre_4(PM, P, Q, V, minmaxcriteria)
```

 Electre_asc_dist

Electre_asc_dist: algorithm for ascending distillation

Description

Algorithm to establish partial preorder by the means of ascending distillation. Preorder is achieved by distilling alternatives using progressively lower cut-off thresholds.

This approach is complementary to descending distillation process which creates second pre-order.

The algorithm is used in Electre_3 and 4 methods.

Usage

```
Electre_asc_dist(sm)
```

Arguments

sm confidence matrix

Value

returns list of alternatives in ranks from worst-to best.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix

ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix : Method used compute concordance matrix

Description

Internal method for computing concordance matrix for ELECTRE I and II methods. Concordance matrix is one of two angles ELECTRE methods use to derive preference for the alternatives, the other being Discordance matrix.

Concordance matrix (index) measures strength of the statement that alternative a outranks alternative b, while discordance matrix (index) together with discordance threshold (exceeding this threshold) can prevent such outranking.

Code is inspired by pyDecisions package.

Computationally concordance matrix $C(a,b)$ is defined as:

$$C(a, b) = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{\forall j: g_j(a) \geq g_j(b)} w_j$$

where

$$W = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j$$

Where $g_j(x)$... performance of alternative x in criterium j, w_j ... weight of criterium j.

Usage

`ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix(PM, w)`

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named and criteria are expected to be maximized (you can use function <code>util_pm_minmax</code> to do that).
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria.

Value

Returns computed concordance matrix.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

See Also

ELECTRE I: [Electre_1](#)

discorance matrix: [ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix](#)

Examples

```
PM <- cbind(
  c(103000,101300,156400,267400,49900,103600,103000,170100,279700,405000),
  c(171.3,205.3,221.7,230.7,122.6,205.1,178.0,226.0,233.8,265.0),
  c(7.65,7.90,7.90,10.50,8.30,8.20,7.20,9.10,10.90,10.30),
  c(352,203,391,419,120,265,419,419,359,265),
  c(11.6,8.4,8.4,8.6,23.7,8.1,11.4,8.1,7.8,6.0),
  c(88.0,78.3,81.5,64.7,74.1,81.7,77.6,74.7,75.5,74.7),
  c(69.7,73.4,69.0,65.6,76.4,73.6,66.2,71.7,70.9,72.0))
rownames(PM) <- c("CBX16", "P205G", "P405M", "P605S",
  "R4GTL", "RCLI0", "R21TS", "R21TU", "R25BA", "ALPIN")
colnames(PM) <- c("Prix", "Vmax", "C120", "Coff", "Acce", "Frei", "Brui")
minmaxcriteria <-c("min", "max", "min", "max", "min", "min", "min")
w <- c(0.3,0.1,0.3,0.2,0.1,0.2,0.1)
PMmax <- util_pm_minmax(PM, minmaxcriteria)
cm <- ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix(PMmax, w)
```

Electre_desc_dist

Electre_desc_dist - algrithm for descending distilation

Description

Algorithm to establish partial preorder by the means of descending distilation. Preorder is achieved by distilling alternatives using progresively lower cutoff thresholds.

This approach is complementary to ascending distilation process which creates second preorder.

The algorithm is used in [Electre_3](#) and [4](#) methods.

Usage

```
Electre_desc_dist(sm)
```

Arguments

sm confidence matrix

Value

returns list of alternatives in ranks from worst to best.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix

ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix : Method used compute discordance matrix

Description

Internal method for computing discordance matrix for ELECTRE I and II methods. Discordance matrix is one of two angles ELECTRE methods use to derive preference for the alternatives, the other being concordance matrix.

Concordance matrix (index) measures strength of the statement that alternative a outranks alternative b, while discordance matrix (index) together with discordance threshold (exceeding this threshold) can prevent such outranking.

Code is inspired by pyDecisions package.

Computationally discordance matrix $D(a,b)$ is defined for:

$$g_j(a) \geq g_j(b) \forall j : D(a, b) = 0$$

and for everything else:

$$D(a, b) = \max_j \frac{g_j(b) - g_j(a)}{\delta_j}$$

where

$$\delta_j = \max c, d, j g_j(c) - g_j(d)$$

Where $g_j(x)$... performance of alternative x in criterium j.

Usage

`ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix(PM)`

Arguments

PM Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named and criteria are expected to be maximized (you can use function `util_prepare_minmax` to do that).

Value

Returns computed discordance matrix.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Balamurali, M.: pyDecisions - A Python Library of management decision making techniques. Available on-line from <https://github.com/Valdecy/pyDecisions>

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

See Also

ELECTRE I: [Electre_1](#)

concorance matrix: [ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix](#)

Examples

```
PM <- cbind(
  c(103000,101300,156400,267400,49900,103600,103000,170100,279700,405000),
  c(171.3,205.3,221.7,230.7,122.6,205.1,178.0,226.0,233.8,265.0),
  c(7.65,7.90,7.90,10.50,8.30,8.20,7.20,9.10,10.90,10.30),
  c(352,203,391,419,120,265,419,419,359,265),
  c(11.6,8.4,8.4,8.6,23.7,8.1,11.4,8.1,7.8,6.0),
  c(88.0,78.3,81.5,64.7,74.1,81.7,77.6,74.7,75.5,74.7),
  c(69.7,73.4,69.0,65.6,76.4,73.6,66.2,71.7,70.9,72.0))
rownames(PM) <- c("CBX16", "P205G", "P405M", "P605S",
  "R4GTL", "RCLIO", "R21TS", "R21TU", "R25BA", "ALPIN")
colnames(PM) <- c("Prix", "Vmax", "C120", "Coff", "Acce", "Frei", "Brui")
minmaxcriteria <-c("min", "max", "min", "max", "min", "min", "min")
PMmax <- util_pm_minmax(PM, minmaxcriteria)
dm <- ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix(PMmax)
```

Electre_TRI

ELECTRE TRI Method

Description

The Electre Tri is a multiple criteria decision aiding method, designed to deal with sorting problems. Electre Tri method has been developed by LAMSADE (Paris-Dauphine University, Paris, France).

The method itself is very interesting as it does not directly compare alternatives against each other. The evaluation is performed against the "profile". The profile presents scale in which criterion exists and is divided in the categories. Since we presume imperfect information we are basically evaluating in which category the performance of the alternative in criterion is.

These categories are then aggregated across the criteria to form rank using "pessimistic" or "optimistic" agregation procedure. Results of these procedures is then used to form ranking.

As oposed to ELECTRE IV, ELECTRE TRI does not provide guidance to establish "final" ranking by agregating outcomes of optimistic and pesimistic procedures.

Usage

```
Electre_TRI(PM, profiles, profiles_names,
            w, Q, P, V,
            minmaxcriteria = 'max', lambda=0.75)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Rows (resp. columns) must be named according to the IDs of the alternatives (resp. criteria).
profiles	Matrix containing, in each row, the lower profiles of the categories. The columns are named according to the criteria, and the rows are named according to the categories. The index of the row in the matrix corresponds to the rank of the category.
profiles_names	Vector containing profiles' names
w	Vector containing the weights of the criteria.
Q	Vector containing the indifference thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
P	Vector containing the preference thresholds constraints defined for each criterion.
V	Vector containing the veto thresholds constraints defined for each criterion
minmaxcriteria	Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria. "min" (resp. "max") indicates that the criterion has to be minimized (maximized). If all criteria are directed in same way use single min or max instead (the method prepares required parameters on its own)
lambda	Lambda parameter is used as cut-off criterion for outranking evaluation. Should be in range of 0.5 and 1.0. Default value=0.75

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

performanceMatrix

A matrix containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Criterion direction is recomputed to represent maximize direction.

partialConcordanceIndex

Partial concordance index indicates the relative dominance of one option over profile aSbh where bh is profile value. Partial concordance index is being constructed separately for each criterion.

partialConcordanceIndexInverse

Same as partialConcordanceIndex but evaluates bhSa: dominance of profile value over performance of the alternative in criterion.

overallConcordanceIndex

Consolidates information from partial concordance indexes into single matrix describing dominance of the alternative over the profile aSbh across the criteria

overallConcordanceIndexInverse

same as overallConcordanceIndex, but describes bhSa instead, again across all criteria

discordanceIndex	discordance index is opposite to concordance index. It is a value (matrix of values) used to establish that a!Sbh (a does not dominate bh). Discordance index is being computed separately for each criterion.
discordanceIndexInverse	Same as discordanceIndex but helps evaluate bhSa.
credibilityIndex	represents a degree of credibility of the assertion that a outranks bh (aSbh).
credibilityIndexInverse	represents a degree of credibility of the assertion that bh outranks a (bhSa).
preferenceRelation	determination of preference situation between alternatives (aSbh = ">", bhSa = "<", I = indifferent, R = incomparable).
pessimistic	The direction of the ranking obtained from the pessimistic procedure is from best to worst.
optimistic	the direction of the ranking obtained from optimistic procedure goes from worst to best.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Rogers, Martin and Myastre, Lucien-Yves. ELECTRE and Decision Support: Methods and Applications in Engineering and Infrastructure investment. Springer 2000, 208 p., ISBN 978-1-4757-5057-7

FIGUEIRA, J.R., Greco, S., Roy, B., Slowinski, R. ELECTRE Methods : Main Features and Recent Developments. Laboratoire d'Analyse et Modélisation de Systèmes pour l'Aide à la Décision, Paris: 2010, 34 pp., available from <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00876980/document>.

Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

Shofade, Olanrewaju Joseph Soniran. Considering hierarchical structure of criteria in ELECTRE decision aiding methods. Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Escola Tecnica Superior d'Enginyeria, Tarragona: 2011 <https://deim.urv.cat/~itaka/itaka2/PDF/acabats/ThesisJoseph-ELECTRE-H.pdf>

Examples

```
# the performance table
PM <- cbind(
  c(-120.0,-150.0,-100.0,-60,-30.0,-80,-45.0),
  c(-284.0,-269.0,-413.0,-596,-1321.0,-734,-982.0),
  c(5.0,2.0,4.0,6,8.0,5,7.0),
  c(3.5,4.5,5.5,8,7.5,4,8.5),
  c(18.0,24.0,17.0,20,16.0,21,13.0)
)
# names of alternatives
rownames(PM) <- c("a1","a2","a3","a4","a5","a6","a7")
# names of criteria
colnames(PM) <- c("g1","g2","g3","g4","g5")
w <- c(0.25,0.45,0.10,0.12,0.08) # criteria weights
```

```
# all criteria maxed - ommiting minmaxcriteria parameter
# lambda = 0.75 - ommiting lambda parameter
# Matrix containing the profiles.
profiles <- cbind(c(-100,-50), c(-1000,-500),
  c(4,7),c(4,7),c(15,20))
# vector defining profiles' names
profiles_names <-c("b1","b2")
# thresholds vector
I <- c(15,80,1,0.5,1) # indifference threshold
P <- c(40,350,3,3.5,5) # preference threshold
V <- c(100,850,5,4.5,8) # veto threshold
Electre_TRI(PM,
profiles, profiles_names,
w, I, P, V)
```

finalRanking

finalRanking - computes final ranking based on pre-order matrix

Description

Takes pre-order matrix and computes final ranking from it

Usage

```
finalRanking(alt, rank_P)
```

Arguments

alt	alternatives
rank_P	pre-order matrix

Value

returns data frame with final ranking..

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

Description

The acronym TOPSIS stands for: Technique of Order Preference Similarity to the Ideal Solution. As name suggests TOPSIS provides its guidance based on evaluation of the similarity to both ideal and anti-ideal variant of the solution.

Original method uses 5 steps for the procedure. In first step the procedure normalizes values in performance matrix and applies weights to it in step 2. In step 3 ideal variant A^* and anti-ideal variant A^- is computed as maximums and minimums of the criteria in performance matrix.

In step 4 distance to ideal D^* and anti-ideal variant D^- is computed and in step 5 used to compute closeness criterium (CC).

Criterium CC is then directly usable to rank alternatives. CC is always in interval of 0-1, the closer the value is to 1, the closer it is to ideal variant.

The approach described above is same as for TOPSIS method, though in case of FuzzyTOPSIS triangular fuzzy numbers are used to describe the values in both criteria weights and performance matrix.

Note: in present version of the function only benefit criteria are being supported.

Usage

FuzzyTOPSIS(PM, dictionaryPM, w, dictionaryW, alt)

Arguments

PM	performance matrix with n columns and no. criteria x no. of alternatives rows. I. e. with 3 criteria and 2 alternatives the rows are: 1: 1.cri-1.alt., 2: 1.cri.-2.alt, 3: 2.cri-1.alt, 4: 2.cri-2.alt, ...
dictionaryPM	dictionary of linguistic variables for criteria
w	weights (matrix of weights - decision makers in columns and criteria in rows)
dictionaryW	dictionary for weights (single matrix/dataframe)
alt	vector with names of the alternatives

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

fuzzy_weights	fuzzy weights of the criteria
fuzzy_decision_matrix	fuzzy decision matrix of the criteria
fuzzy_norm_decision_matrix	fuzzy normalized decision matrix
weighted_fuzzy_norm_decision_matrix	weighted fuzzy normalized decision matrix
a_plus	ideal solution
a_minus	anti-ideal solution
CC	list of alternatives with computed closeness criterion [0-1], the closer to 1, the closer to ideal solution

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Papathanasiou, Jason, Ploskas, Nikolaos. Multiple Criteria Decision Aid Methods, Examples and Python Implementations. Springer, 173 p., ISBN 978-3-319-91648-4.

Examples

```
dictionaryW <- rbind(
  c(0, 0, 0.1),
  c(0, 0.1, 0.3),
  c(0.1, 0.3, 0.5),
  c(0.3, 0.5, 0.7),
  c(0.5, 0.7, 0.9),
  c(0.7, 0.9, 1),
  c(0.9, 1, 1)
)
#V very, L low, M medium, H high
rownames(dictionaryW) <- c('VL', 'L', 'ML', 'M', 'MH', 'H', 'VH')
w <- rbind(
  c('H', 'VH', 'VH'),
  c('M', 'H', 'VH'),
  c('M', 'MH', 'ML'),
  c('H', 'VH', 'MH')
)
rownames(w) <- c('Investment cost', 'Employment needs', 'Social impact',
  'Environmental impact')
dictionaryPM <- rbind(
  c(0,0,1),
  c(0,1,3),
  c(1,3,5),
  c(3,5,7),
  c(5,7,9),
  c(7,9,10),
  c(9,10,10)
)
#V very, P poor, M medium, F fair, G good
rownames(dictionaryPM) <- c('VP', 'P', 'MP', 'F', 'MG', 'G', 'VG')
PM <- rbind(
  c('VG', 'G', 'MG'),
  c('MP', 'F', 'F'),
  c('MG', 'MP', 'F'),
  c('MG', 'MG', 'VG'),
  c('VP', 'P', 'G'),
  c('F', 'G', 'G'),
  c('F', 'MG', 'MG'),
  c('F', 'VG', 'G'),
  c('MG', 'MG', 'VG'),
  c('G', 'G', 'VG'),
  c('P', 'VP', 'MP'),
  c('F', 'MP', 'MG'),
  c('P', 'P', 'MP'),
  c('MG', 'VG', 'G'),
  c('MP', 'F', 'F'),
```

```

c('MG', 'VG', 'G'),
c('G', 'G', 'VG'),
c('VG', 'MG', 'F'),
c('G', 'VG', 'G'),
c('MG', 'F', 'MP'),
c('MP', 'P', 'P'),
c('VP', 'F', 'P'),
c('G', 'MG', 'MG'),
c('P', 'MP', 'F')
)
alternatives <- c('site 1', 'site 2', 'site 3', 'site 4', 'site 5', 'site 6')
result <- FuzzyTOPSIS(PM, dictionaryPM, w, dictionaryW, alternatives)

```

FuzzyVIKOR

*FuzzyVIKOR : method used to solve multiple criteria decision making***Description**

The acronym VIKOR stands for: VlseKriterijumska Optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje, in Serbian multicriteria optimization and compromise solution. The method has been especially designed to deal with problematic situations when the alternatives are characterized by non-commensurable and conflicting criteria, for which VIKOR provides compromise solution. Methodologically VIKOR is close to another method TOPSIS. Original VIKOR uses five steps to derive such compromise solution.

FuzzyVIKOR uses trapezoidal fuzzy number for computation purposes. Other than using fuzzy number for computation, the results are basically the same: S, R and Q, which can be used to compute compromise solution. Look in the VIKOR function description to better understand the computation proces (or the source code).

At present time FuzzyVIKOR function does not have implemented establishment of compromise solution.

Usage

```
FuzzyVIKOR(PM, dictionaryPM, w, dictionaryW, alt)
```

Arguments

PM	performance matrix with n columns and no. criteria x no. of alternatives rows. I. e. with 3 criteria and 2 alternatives the rows are: 1: 1.cri-1.alt., 2: 1.cri-2.alt, 3: 2.cri-1.alt, 4: 2.cri-2.alt, ...
dictionaryPM	dictionary of linguistic variables for criteria
w	weights (matrix of weights - decision makers in columns and criteria in rows)
dictionaryW	dictionary for wights (single matrix\dataframe)
alt	vector with names of the alternatives

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows :

S	list of alternatives using S-metric
R	list of alternatives using R-metric
Q	list of alternatives using Q-metric

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

Papathanasiou, Jason, Ploskas, Nikolaos. Multiple Criteria Decision Aid Methods, Examples and Python Implementations. Springer, 173 p., ISBN 978-3-319-91648-4.

Examples

```
dictionaryW <- rbind(
  c(0, 0, 0.1, 0.2),
  c(0.1, 0.2, 0.2, 0.3),
  c(0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5),
  c(0.4, 0.5, 0.5, 0.6),
  c(0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8),
  c(0.7, 0.8, 0.8, 0.9),
  c(0.8, 0.9, 1, 1)
)
#V very, L low, M medium, H high
rownames(dictionaryW) <- c('VL', 'L', 'ML', 'M', 'MH', 'H', 'VH')
w <- rbind(
  c('H', 'VH', 'VH'),
  c('M', 'H', 'VH'),
  c('M', 'MH', 'ML'),
  c('H', 'VH', 'MH')
)
rownames(w) <- c('Investment cost', 'Employment needs', 'Social impact',
  'Environmental impact')
dictionaryPM <- rbind(
  c(0, 0, 0.1, 0.2),
  c(0.1, 0.2, 0.2, 0.3),
  c(0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5),
  c(0.4, 0.5, 0.5, 0.6),
  c(0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8),
  c(0.7, 0.8, 0.8, 0.9),
  c(0.8, 0.9, 1, 1)
)
#V very, P poor, M medium, F fair, G good
rownames(dictionaryPM) <- c('VP', 'P', 'MP', 'F', 'MG', 'G', 'VG')
PM <- rbind(
  c('VG', 'G', 'MG'),
  c('MP', 'F', 'F'),
  c('MG', 'MP', 'F'),
  c('MG', 'MG', 'VG'),
  c('VP', 'P', 'G'),
  c('F', 'G', 'G'),
  c('F', 'MG', 'MG'),
  c('F', 'VG', 'G'),
  c('MG', 'MG', 'VG'),
  c('G', 'G', 'VG'),
  c('P', 'VP', 'MP'),
  c('F', 'MP', 'MG'),
  c('P', 'P', 'MP'),
```

```

c('MG', 'VG', 'G'),
c('MP', 'F', 'F'),
c('MG', 'VG', 'G'),
c('G', 'G', 'VG'),
c('VG', 'MG', 'F'),
c('G', 'VG', 'G'),
c('MG', 'F', 'MP'),
c('MP', 'P', 'P'),
c('VP', 'F', 'P'),
c('G', 'MG', 'MG'),
c('P', 'MP', 'F')
)
alternatives <- c('site 1', 'site 2', 'site 3', 'site 4', 'site 5', 'site 6')
result <- FuzzyVIKOR(PM, dictionaryPM, w, dictionaryW, alternatives)

```

Graph2AdjancancyMatrix

Graph2AdjancancyMatrix : Transforms graph (a->b format) to adjancancy matrix

Description

Function transforms back graph specified by edges to adjancancy matrix, with 1 where edge exists and 0 where it does not.

Usage

```
Graph2AdjancancyMatrix(G, alt)
```

Arguments

G	Graph which should be transformed
alt	alternatives names

Value

Returns adjancancy matrix for the graph with 0/1 representing graph.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

mcda_del_dominated	<i>mcda_del_dominated</i> : Deletes dominated alternatives from preference matrix
--------------------	---

Description

Deletes dominated alternatives from preference matrix (should such alternatives exist in the matrix) and return the result.

Usage

```
mcda_del_dominated(M, minmaxcriteria, digits)
```

Arguments

M	Normalized performance matrix - criteria in columns and alternatives in rows. Expected, that all criteria are to be maximized.
minmaxcriteria	either value (min or max) or vector providing guidance for orientation of the criteria in preference matrix. If parameter provides only single max or min value, the function will presume usage of this orientation for all criteria. Default value is 'max'.
digits	number of digits the resulting matrix cells should be rounded to. Default value is 2 (round to 2 digits).

Value

Returns matrix containing only such alternatives, which were not clearly dominated by some other alternative.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

De Brouwer, Philippe J. S.: "The The Big R-Book: From Data Science to Learning Machines and Big Data ", Wiley, 2020, 928 p., ISBN 978-1119632726.

Examples

```
alternatives <- c('BLR', 'BOM', 'DEL', 'MNL', 'HYD', 'GRU', 'DUB', 'KRK', 'MAA', 'EZE')
criteria <- c('tInt', 'stab', 'cost', 'infl', 'tm-zn', 'infr', "life")
M <- rbind(
  c(0.8181818, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.750),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.6666667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.8333333, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.125),
  c(0.8181818, 0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.3482143, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 0.5000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.125),
  c(0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.0000000, 0.5741667, 1, 1.0, 1.000),
  c(0.3636364, 0.7787611, 0.6666667, 1.0000000, 1, 0.0, 0.500),
  c(0.4545455, 0.1814159, 0.9166667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.4, 0.000),
```

```

      c(0.1818182, 0.6283186, 0.5833333, 0.0000000, 0, 0.4, 0.125)
    )
    rownames(M) <- alternatives
    colnames(M) <- criteria
    mcda_del_dominated(M)

```

mcda_get_dominated	<i>mcda_get_dominated : Identify alternatives, which clearly dominate others</i>
--------------------	--

Description

Takes normalized performance matrix (criteria in columns, alternatives in rows) and identifies the alternatives, that are being dominated by other alternatives. Dominated alternatives are prime candidates for deletion and thus simplification of decision problem.

Function returns matrix m to m with 1 identifying alternative being dominated.

Usage

```
mcda_get_dominated(M, minmaxcriteria = 'max')
```

Arguments

M	Normalized performance matrix - criteria in columns and alternatives in rows.
minmaxcriteria	either value (min or max) or vector providing guidance for orientation of the criteria in preference matrix. If parameter provides only single max or min value, the function will presume usage of this orientation for all criteria.

Value

Returns matrix m to m with 1 identifying alternative being dominated. 1 in position ij if alternative i dominates alternative j .

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

De Brouwer, Philippe J. S.: "The The Big R-Book: From Data Science to Learning Machines and Big Data ", Wiley, 2020, 928 p., ISBN 978-1119632726.

Examples

```

alternatives <- c('BLR', 'BOM', 'DEL', 'MNL', 'HYD', 'GRU', 'DUB', 'KRK', 'MAA', 'EZE')
criteria <- c('tlnt', 'stab', 'cost', 'infl', 'tm-zn', 'infr', "life")
M <- rbind(
  c(0.8181818, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.750),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.6666667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.8333333, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.125),
  c(0.8181818, 0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.3482143, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 0.5000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.125),

```

```

c(0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.0000000, 0.5741667, 1, 1.0, 1.000),
c(0.3636364, 0.7787611, 0.6666667, 1.0000000, 1, 0.0, 0.500),
c(0.4545455, 0.1814159, 0.9166667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.4, 0.000),
c(0.1818182, 0.6283186, 0.5833333, 0.0000000, 0, 0.4, 0.125)
)
rownames(M) <- alternatives
colnames(M) <- criteria
dominated <- mcda_get_dominated(M)
dominated

```

mcda_rescale_pm

mcda_rescale_pm : Rescale performance matrix

Description

Rescales performance matrix resulting in matrix with all criteria in same scale. Only works with numeric values.

Usage

```
mcda_rescale_pm(M)
```

Arguments

M Performance matrix - criteria in columns and alternatives in rows. Expected, that all criteria are to be maximized and are expressed as numbers.

Value

Returns scaled performance matrix.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

De Brouwer, Philippe J. S.: "The The Big R-Book: From Data Science to Learning Machines and Big Data ", Wiley, 2020, 928 p., ISBN 978-1119632726.

Examples

```

alternatives <- c('BLR', 'BOM', 'DEL', 'MNL', 'HYD', 'GRU', 'DUB', 'KRK', 'MAA', 'EZE')
criteria <- c('tInt', 'stab', 'cost', 'infl', 'tm-zn', 'infr', "life")
M <- rbind(
  c(0.8181818, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.750),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.6666667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.8333333, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.125),
  c(0.8181818, 0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.3482143, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 0.5000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.125),
  c(0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.0000000, 0.5741667, 1, 1.0, 1.000),
  c(0.3636364, 0.7787611, 0.6666667, 1.0000000, 1, 0.0, 0.500),
  c(0.4545455, 0.1814159, 0.9166667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.4, 0.000),

```



```

      c(0.1818182, 0.6283186, 0.5833333, 0.0000000, 0, 0.4, 0.125)
    )
    rownames(M) <- alternatives
    colnames(M) <- criteria
    rescaled <- mcdawsm(M)

```

mcdawsm	<i>mcdawsm : applies weighted sum method on performance matrix</i>
---------	--

Description

Weighted sum method (WSM) is one of the simplest and at the same time one of the most used methods for evaluation of alternatives using different criteria. For the method to work it is necessary to prepare performance matrix with alternatives in the rows and criteria in the columns.

Values in the matrix must be normalized. Current version of the implementation also presumes, that all criteria in performance matrix are to be maximized.

The method takes performance matrix, applies weights for the criteria on it and sums the results across the criteria to get score for the alternatives. Such score is usable to rank the alternatives. Score is provided in both raw (just sum of values) and percentage forms.

Usage

```
mcdawsm(M, w, minmaxcriteria = 'max')
```

Arguments

M	Performance matrix - criteria in columns and alternatives in rows. Expected, that all values in matrix are normalized and that the criteria are to be maximized. Only numeric values in the matrix are expected.
w	weights for the criteria in the performance matrix.
minmaxcriteria	criteriaMinMax Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria. "min" (resp."max") indicates that the criterion has to be minimized (maximized).

Value

Returns list of results in following structure:

performanceMatrix	A matrix containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Output provides rescaled version of matrix.
weightedPM	Weighted performance matrix
weightedSum	vector containing weighted sum for alternatives across the criteria
weightedSumPrc	weightedSum expressed as percentage - max of weightedSum value = 100 %
scoreM	visualized contribution of criteria to overall score of the variant

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Šenovský, P: "Modeling of Decision Processes (in czech)", 4th edition, VŠB - Technical University of Ostrava, 2012, 113 p.

Examples

```
alternatives <- c('BLR', 'BOM', 'DEL', 'MNL', 'HYD', 'GRU', 'DUB', 'KRK', 'MAA', 'EZE')
criteria <- c('tlnt', 'stab', 'cost', 'infl', 'tm-zn', 'infr', "life")
M <- rbind(
  c(0.8181818, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.750),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.6666667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.8333333, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.125),
  c(0.8181818, 0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.3482143, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 0.5000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.125),
  c(0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.0000000, 0.5741667, 1, 1.0, 1.000),
  c(0.3636364, 0.7787611, 0.6666667, 1.0000000, 1, 0.0, 0.500),
  c(0.4545455, 0.1814159, 0.9166667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.4, 0.000),
  c(0.1818182, 0.6283186, 0.5833333, 0.0000000, 0, 0.4, 0.125)
)
rownames(M) <- alternatives
colnames(M) <- criteria
w = c(0.125, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.175, 0.05, 0.05)
wsm <- mcda_wsm(M, w)
wsm$weightedPM
wsm$weightedSum
wsm$weightedSumPrc
```

norm_LaiHwang

Normalize values using Lai and Hwang approach

Description

Normalize values using approach by Lai and Hwang (1994), see equations below.

For benefit criteria

$$z = \frac{x}{\max(x) - \min(x)}$$

For cost criteria

$$z = \frac{x}{\min(x) - \max(x)}$$

Usage

```
norm_LaiHwang(tonorm, minmax)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized
 minmax 'min' or 'max' to specify cost or benefit criterion

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Lai, Young-hou & Ching-Lai Hwang (1994). Fuzzy Multiple Objective Decision Making Method and Applications. Im Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 404. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. DOI: 10.007/978-3-642-57949-3.

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_LaiHwang(tonorm, 'max')
```

norm_linearagreg

Linear normalization using aggregation of values

Description

Normalized values are computed as follows.

For maximization transformation

$$z = \frac{x}{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i}$$

For minimization transformation

$$z = \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{x_i}}$$

where m is number of observation in vector to normalize

Usage

```
norm_linearagreg(tonorm, minmax = 'max')
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized
 minmax min or max, provided to support situation when we want to revert direction of used scale. If value not provided, max presumed.

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_linearagreg(tonorm)
```

norm_logarithm

Normalize values by applying logarithmic transformation.

Description

Normalized values are computed as follows.

For maximization transformation

$$z = \frac{\ln(x)}{\ln(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i)}$$

For minimization transformation

$$z = \frac{1 - \frac{\ln(x)}{\ln(\prod_{i=1}^m x_i)}}{m - 1}$$

where m is number of observation in vector to normalize

Usage

```
norm_logarithm(tonorm, minmax = 'max')
```

Arguments

tonorm	vector of numeric values to be normalized
minmax	min or max, provided to support situation when we want to revert direction of used scale. If value not provided, max presumed.

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_logarithm(tonorm)
```

norm_markovic	<i>Normalize values Markovic approach</i>
---------------	---

Description

Normalize values using approach by Markovic (2010), see equation bellow. Main benefit is that the normalization works bor both benefit and cost criteria.

$$z = 1 - \frac{x - \min(x)}{\max(x)}$$

Usage

```
norm_markovic(tonorm)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

MARKOVIC, Z. Modification of TOPSIS Method For Solving Multicriteria Tasks. Yugoslav Journal of Operations Researc, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 117-143, DOI: 10.2298/YJOR1001117M

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_markovic(tonorm)
```

norm_minmax	<i>Min-max method for normalization of the values</i>
-------------	---

Description

Min-max normalization is one of most used normalization methods. It takes values in any ascale an normalizes them into 0-1 scale.

Normalized values z are computed:

$$z = \frac{x - \min(x)}{\max(x) - \min(x)}$$

Usage

```
norm_minmax(tonorm, minmax = 'max')
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized
 minmax min or max, provided to support situation when we want to revert direction of used scale. If value not provided, max presumed.

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Normalization. Code academy, available from <https://www.codeacademy.com/articles/normalization> [cit. 2021-09-28]

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_minmax(tonorm)
```

norm_nonlinear

Normalize values using nonlinear normalization

Description

Normalize values using approach by Oeldschus et al (1983), see equations bellow.

For benefit criteria

$$z = \left(\frac{x}{\max(x)}\right)^2$$

For cost criteria

$$z = \left(\frac{\max(x)}{x}\right)^2$$

Usage

```
norm_nonlinear(tonorm, minmax)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized
 minmax 'min' or 'max' to specify cost or benefit criterion

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Peldschus, F., Vaigauskas, E. and Zavadskas, E.K. (1983) Technologische Entscheidungen bei der Berücksichtigung mehrerer Ziele. Bauplanung - Bautechnik, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 173-175.

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_nonlinear(tonorm, 'max')
```

norm_toaverage

Normalize values by comparing them to average.

Description

Normalized values are computed by comparing the values to average.

$$z = \frac{x}{\mu} \cdot 100$$

Usage

```
norm_toaverage(tonorm)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_toaverage(tonorm)
```

norm_tobest *Normalize values by comparing them to maximum in the vector.*

Description

Normalized values are computed by comparing the values to maximum. Results show how close the values are to this maximum (in percents).

$$z = \frac{x}{\max(x)} \cdot 100$$

Usage

```
norm_tobest(tonorm)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_tobest(tonorm)
```

norm_TzengHuang *Normalize values using Tzeng and Huang approach*

Description

Normalize values using approach by Tzeng and Huang (2011), see equation bellow. Main benefit is that the normalization works bor both benefit and cost criteria.

$$z = \frac{\max(x)}{x}$$

Usage

```
norm_TzengHuang(tonorm)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Tzeng, G. and Huang, J. (2011) Multiple Attribute Decision Making Methods and Applications. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, A Chapman & Hall Book, Boca Raton. 350 p., ISBN 978-1-4398-6157-8

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_TzengHuang(tonorm)
```

norm_vector

Performs so called vector normalization

Description

Normalized values are computed as follows.

For maximization transformation

$$z = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2}}$$

For minimization transformation

$$z = \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{x_i^2}}}$$

where m is number of observation in vector to normalize

Usage

```
norm_vector(tonorm, minmax = 'max')
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized
 minmax min or max, provided to support situation when we want to revert direction of used scale. If value not provided, max presumed.

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_vector(tonorm)
```

norm_ZavadskasTurskis *Normalize values using Zavadskas and Turskis approach*

Description

Normalize values using approach by Zavadskas and Turskis (2008), see equations below.

For benefit criteria

$$z = 1 - \left| \frac{\max(x) - x}{\max(x)} \right|$$

For cost criteria

$$z = 1 - \left| \frac{\min(x) - x}{\min(x)} \right|$$

Usage

```
norm_ZavadskasTurskis(tonorm, minmax)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized
 minmax 'min' or 'max' to specify cost or benefit criterion

Value

returns normalized vector of values.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Zavadskas, E.K., Turskis, Z. (2008). A New Logarithmic Normalization Method in Games Theory. Informatica, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 303-314

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_ZavadskasTurskis(tonorm, 'max')
```

norm_zscore	<i>Z-Score - standard score</i>
-------------	---------------------------------

Description

Standard score is the number of standard deviations by which the value of raw score is above or below mean value. Values > mean will have positive scores, while values under mean will be negative. Normalized values z are computed:

$$z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$$

Usage

```
norm_zscore(tonorm)
```

Arguments

tonorm vector of numeric values to be normalized

Value

returns standard score for presented vector.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Normalization. Code academy, available from <https://www.codecademy.com/articles/normalization> [cit. 2021-09-28]

Examples

```
tonorm <- c(1,2,3)
normalized <- norm_zscore(tonorm)
```

plot.prefM	<i>plot.prefM : Function to plot preference direction using plotmat function</i>
------------	--

Description

Takes preference matrix and uses it to plot the relations between the alternatives (what alternative is preferred in comparison to which alternative).

Usage

```
plot(x, ...)
```

Arguments

x preference matrix - values in matrix used to describe strength of the relation.
 ... allows user to specify other parameters of plotmat function from diagram package.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

De Brouwer, Philippe J. S.: "The The Big R-Book: From Data Science to Learning Machines and Big Data ", Wiley, 2020, 928 p., ISBN 978-1119632726.

Examples

```
alternatives <- c('BLR', 'BOM', 'DEL', 'MNL', 'HYD', 'GRU', 'DUB', 'KRK', 'MAA', 'EZE')
M <- rbind(
  c(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0),
  c(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),
  c(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)
)
rownames(M) <- alternatives
colnames(M) <- alternatives
class(M) <- 'prefM'
plot(M)
```

plot.scoreM

plot.scoreM : Function to plot visualise contribution of the criteria to overall performance of the alternatives.

Description

Takes weighted preference matrix and uses it to plot bar chart describing how the criteria contribute to the overall score of the alternatives. Visualized in form of the ordered bar plot.

Usage

```
plot.scoreM(x, ...)
```

Arguments

x weighted preference matrix - values in matrix used to describe strength of the relation.
 ... allows user to specify other parameters of plotmat function from diagram package.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

De Brouwer, Philippe J. S.: "The The Big R-Book: From Data Science to Learning Machines and Big Data ", Wiley, 2020, 928 p., ISBN 978-1119632726.

Examples

```
KoboGlo <- c(80, 97, 50, 100, 100, 94)
SonyPRST3 <- c(80, 88, 100, 20, 70, 70)
KindlePaperwhite2 <- c(100, 85, 50, 100, 70, 100)
PBTouchLux <- c(80, 90, 100, 100, 70, 94)
BookeenCybookOdyssey <- c(80, 100, 100, 100, 85, 50)
criteria <- c("Display", "váha", "HWTlačítka", "FrontLight", "baterie", "cena")
PM <- as.data.frame(
  rbind(KoboGlo,
        SonyPRST3,
        KindlePaperwhite2,
        PBTouchLux,
        BookeenCybookOdyssey))
names(PM) <- criteria
w <- c(5, 3, 4, 5, 2, 1)
preferences <- mcda_wsm(PM, w, 'max')
plot.scoreM(preferences$weightedPM)
```

pre_order_matrix

pre_order_matrix - function to create preorder matrix

Description

Function takes outputs of descending and ascending distillation pre-order and created pre-order matrix describing outranking relation between the alternatives.

The relation can be P+ (a outranks b), P- (b outranks a), I (indifference) and R (incomparable). Information from preorder matrix can be utilized to create adjancancy matrix and construct final ranking.

Usage

```
pre_order_matrix(rank_D, rank_A, alt)
```

Arguments

rank_D	descending distillation ranking in the form of ordered dataframe
rank_A	ascending distillation ranking in the form of ordered dataframe
alt	vector of alternatives names

Value

returns pre-order matrix.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

Prombo, M. Package OutrankingTools, CRAN: 2015, available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/OutrankingTools/>

PROMETHEE

PROMETHEE : general method for computations of preference flows in PROMETHEE methods

Description

PROMETHEE stands for Preference ranking organization method for enrichment evaluation. Is family of popular alternatives outranking computation methods. It constructs its recommendations based on positive and negative preference flows between the alternatives.

This function provides general function implementing computation of these flows to be used by PROMETHEE I, II and III methods.

Usage

```
PROMETHEE(PM, preferenceFunction, w, indifferenceTreshold = NULL,
prefferenceThreshold = NULL, intermediateThreshold = NULL)
```

Arguments

PM Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.

preferenceFunction

vector, specifies type of function used to compute preferences. Need to be set for each criterion. Possible values are: 'default', 'U-shape', 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear', 'Gaussian'. Choice of function type will decide on what type of threshold (if any) is required for computation. Each criterion can use different preference function.

w

vector containing the weights of the criteria. Values need to $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$, $\sum(w_i) = 1$

indifferenceTreshold

vector containing indifference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'U-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.

preferenceThreshold	vector containing preference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.
intermediateThreshold	vector containing intermediate thresholds for criteria. Only Gaussian type performance functions require this type of threshold. If preference and indifference thresholds are present, the PROMETHEE function will try to 'guess' intermediate threshold as value right in the middle between these thresholds.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

positiveFlowCriteria	matrix of size no. alternatives x no. of criteria representing how the alternative is preferred in criterion compared to other alternatives
negativeFlowCriteria	matrix of size no. alternatives x no. of criteria representing how the alternative is outranked in criterion compared to other alternatives
netFlowCriteria	matrix of size no. alternatives x no. of criteria representing overall evaluation of the flows
weightedPositiveFlowCriteria	matrix of size no. alternatives x no. of criteria representing how the alternative is preferred in criterion compared to other alternatives with weights applied to them
weightedNegativeFlowCriteria	matrix of size no. alternatives x no. of criteria representing how the alternative is outranked in criterion compared to other alternatives with weights applied to them
weightedNetFlowCriteria	matrix of size no. alternatives x no. of criteria representing overall evaluation of the flows (with weights applied to them)
positiveFlow	vector representing how the alternative is preferred to other alternatives
negativeFlow	vector representing how alternative is outranked by other alternatives
netFlow	vector representing differences between positive and negative flows for the alternative across criteria
preferenceDegreeUnw	list of matrixes with unweighted preferences, separate matrix for each criterion
pairwiseComparison	list of matrixes measuring nominal differences in alternatives performance in criterions. Separate matrixes are constructed for each criterion.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

Examples

```
#Example from Fuzzy TOPSIS book (see references)
#ammended error in tab. 4.9, the computation presumes maximization of all criteria
PM <- cbind(
  c(80, 65, 83, 40, 52, 94),
  c(90, 58, 60, 80, 72, 96),
  c(600, 200, 400, 1000, 600, 700),
  c(54, 97, 72, 75, 20, 36),
  c(8, 1, 4, 7, 3, 5),
  c(5, 1, 7, 10, 8, 6)
)
colnames(PM) <- c('C1', 'C2', 'C3', 'C4', 'C5', 'C6')
rownames(PM) <- c('A1', 'A2', 'A3', 'A4', 'A5', 'A6')
minmax <- 'max'
shape <- c('U-shape', 'V-shape', 'linear', 'level', 'default', 'Gaussian')
q <- c(10, 0, 450, 50, 0, 0) #indifference threshold
p <- c(0, 30, 50, 10, 0, 0) #preference threshold
s <- c(0,0,0,0,0,5) #intermediate threshold
w <- c(0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1665)
result <- PROMETHEE(PM, shape, w, q, p, s)
```

PROMETHEE_I

PROMETHEE I: method for computations of partial preference using PROMETHEE I method

Description

PROMETHEE stands for Preference ranking organization method for enrichment evaluation. Promethee I method is intended for establishment of partial ranking of the alternatives, by evaluation of positive and negative preference flows in pairwise comparisons of the alternatives.

Method uses general PROMETHEE function and computes comparison states on top of it

Usage

```
PROMETHEE_I(PM, preferenceFunction, w, minmax, indifferenceTreshold = NULL,
preferenceThreshold = NULL, intermediateThreshold = NULL)
```

Arguments

PM Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.

preferenceFunction vector, specifies type of function used to compute preferences. Need to be set for each criterion. Possible values are: 'default', 'U-shape', 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear', 'Gaussian'. Choice of function type will decide on what type of threshold (if any) is required for computation. Each criterion can use different preference function.

w vector containing the weights of the criteria. Values need to $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$, $\sum(w_i) = 1$

minmax	can be set to either value or vector. Value (min or max) is usable in situation when all criteria are either benefit or cost (are not mixed). If Criteria orientation is mixed, vector is required to set criterion orientation right.
indifferenceThreshold	vector containing indifference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'U-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.
preferenceThreshold	vector containing preference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.
intermediateThreshold	vector containing intermediate thresholds for criteria. only Gaussian type performance functions require this type of threshold. If preference and indifference thresholds are present, the PROMETHEE function will try to 'gues' intermediate threshold as value right in the middle between these thresholds.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

positiveFlow	vector representing how the alternative is preferred to other alternatives
negativeFlow	vector representing how alternative is outranked by other alternatives
preferenceDegree	matrix representing aggregated weighted preferences of the alternatives across the criteria
preferenceDegreeUnw	list of matrixes with unweighted preferences, separate matrix for each criterion
pairwiseComparison	list of matrixes measuring nominal differences in alternatives performance in criterions. Separate matrixes are constructed for each criterion.
preferenceMatrix	preference matrix with specified P (preferred), I (indifferent) and R (incomparable) for every pair of alternatives

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

Examples

```
#Example from Fuzzy TOPSIS book (see references)
#ammended error in tab. 4.9, the computation presumes maximization of all criteria
PM <- cbind(
```

```

c(80, 65, 83, 40, 52, 94),
c(90, 58, 60, 80, 72, 96),
c(600, 200, 400, 1000, 600, 700),
c(54, 97, 72, 75, 20, 36),
c(8, 1, 4, 7, 3, 5),
c(5, 1, 7, 10, 8, 6)
)
colnames(PM) <- c('C1', 'C2', 'C3', 'C4', 'C5', 'C6')
rownames(PM) <- c('A1', 'A2', 'A3', 'A4', 'A5', 'A6')
minmax <- 'max'
shape <- c('U-shape', 'V-shape', 'linear', 'level', 'default', 'Gaussian')
q <- c(10, 0, 450, 50, 0, 0) #indifference threshold
p <- c(0, 30, 50, 10, 0, 0) #preference threshold
s <- c(0,0,0,0,0,5) #intermediate threshold
w <- c(0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1665)
result <- PROMETHEE_I(PM, shape, w, minmax, q, p, s)

```

PROMETHEE_II

PROMETHEE II: method for computations of partial preference using PROMETHEE II method

Description

PROMETHEE stands for Preference ranking organization method for enrichment evaluation. Promethee II method is intended for establishment of full ranking of the alternatives, by evaluation of positive and negative preference flows in pairwise comparisons of the alternatives.

Difference between positive and negative flows forms net outranking flow, which is indicator usable directly to order the alternatives.

Method uses general PROMETHEE function and computes net outranking flow on top of it and ranks.

Usage

```
PROMETHEE_II(PM, preferenceFunction, w, minmax = 'max', indifferenceThreshold = NULL,
preferenceThreshold = NULL, intermediateThreshold = NULL)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
preferenceFunction	vector, specifies type of function used to compute preferences. Need to be set for each criterion. Possible values are: 'default', 'U-shape', 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear', 'Gaussian'. Choice of function type will decide on what type of threshold (if any) is required for computation. Each criterion can use different preference function.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria. Values need to $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$, $\sum(w_i) = 1$
minmax	can be set to either value or vector. Value (min or max) is usable in situation when all criteria are either benefit or cost (are not mixed). If Criteria orientation is mixed, vector is required to set criterion orientation right.

indifferenceThreshold

vector containing indifference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'U-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.

preferenceThreshold

vector containing preference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.

intermediateThreshold

vector containing intermediate thresholds for criteria. only Gaussian type performance functions require this type of threshold. If preference and indifference thresholds are present, the PROMETHEE function will try to 'gues' intermediate threshold as value right in the middle between these thresholds.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

positiveFlow	vector representing how the alternative is preferred to other alternatives
negativeFlow	vector representing how alternative is outranked by other alternatives
netFlow	positive - negative flow, forms an indicator PROMETHEE II uses to directly rank the alternatives
preferenceDegree	matrix representing aggregated weighted preferences of the alternatives across the criteria
preferenceDegreeUnw	list of matrixes with unweighted preferences, separate matrix for each criterion
pairwiseComparison	list of matrixes measuring nominal differences in alternatives performance in criterions. Separate matrixes are constructed for each criterion.
rankedList	ranked list of alternatives
rankedListNOF	sorted list of alternatives with assigned net outranking flow indicator, which can be used to sort the list.
preferenceMatrix	preference matrix describing outranking relation between the alternatives

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

Examples

```
#Example from Fuzzy TOPSIS book (see references)
#ammended error in tab. 4.9, the computation presumes maximization of all criteria
PM <- cbind(
  c(80, 65, 83, 40, 52, 94),
  c(90, 58, 60, 80, 72, 96),
  c(600, 200, 400, 1000, 600, 700),
  c(54, 97, 72, 75, 20, 36),
  c(8, 1, 4, 7, 3, 5),
  c(5, 1, 7, 10, 8, 6)
)
colnames(PM) <- c('C1', 'C2', 'C3', 'C4', 'C5', 'C6')
rownames(PM) <- c('A1', 'A2', 'A3', 'A4', 'A5', 'A6')
minmax <- 'max'
shape <- c('U-shape', 'V-shape', 'linear', 'level', 'default', 'Gaussian')
q <- c(10, 0, 450, 50, 0, 0) #indifference threshold
p <- c(0, 30, 50, 10, 0, 0) #preference threshold
s <- c(0,0,0,0,0,5) #intermediate threshold
w <- c(0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1665)
result <- PROMETHEE_II(PM, shape, w, minmax, q, p, s)
```

PROMETHEE_III

PROMETHEE III: method for computations of partial preference using PROMETHEE III method

Description

PROMETHEE stands for Preference ranking organization method for enrichment evaluation. Promethee II method is intended for establishment of full ranking of the alternatives, by evaluation of positive and negative preference flows in pairwise comparisons of the alternatives.

Difference between positive and negative flows forms net outranking flow. PROMETHEE III function is usable for partial preorder, similarly to PROMETHEE I function, but uses intervals for establishing preorder.

Method uses general PROMETHEE function and computes net outranking flow on top of it. Standard error of the net flow will then be used to compute the intervals for the alternatives. These intervals are then used to establish preference system.

In a way we can think about these interval as an alternative implementation of indifference thresholds.

Note: the implementation of function is partially inspired by implementation of PROMETHEE III portion of promethee123 package, though this implementation provides different output and utilizes general PROMETHEE developed for PROMETHEE I and II functions.

Usage

```
PROMETHEE_III(PM, preferenceFunction, w, minmax = 'max', indifferenceThreshold = NULL,
preferenceThreshold = NULL, intermediateThreshold = NULL)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
preferenceFunction	vector, specifies type of function used to compute preferences. Need to be set for each criterion. Possible values are: 'default', 'U-shape', 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear', 'Gaussian'. Choice of function type will decide on what type of threshold (if any) is required for computation. Each criterion can use different preference function.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria. Values need to $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$, $\sum(w_i) = 1$
minmax	can be set to either value or vector. Value (min or max) is usable in situation when all criteria are either benefit or cost (are not mixed). If Criteria orientation is mixed, vector is required to set criterion orientation right.
indifferenceThreshold	vector containing indifference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'U-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.
preferenceThreshold	vector containing preference thresholds for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.
intermediateThreshold	vector containing intermediate thresholds for criteria. only Gaussian type performance functions require this type of threshold. If preference and indifference thresholds are present, the PROMETHEE function will try to 'gues' intermediate threshold as value right in the middle between these thresholds.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

positiveFlow	vector representing how the alternative is preferred to other alternatives
negativeFlow	vector representing how alternative is outranked by other alternatives
netFlow	positive - negative flow, forms an indicator PROMETHEE II uses to directly rank the alternatives
preferenceDegree	matrix representing aggregated weighted preferences of the alternatives across the criteria
preferenceDegreeUnw	list of matrixes with unweighted preferences, separate matrix for each criterion
pairwiseComparison	list of matrixes measuring nominal differences in alternatives performance in criterions. Separate matrixes are constructed for each criterion.
preferenceMatrix	preference matrix describing outranking relation between the alternatives

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

BRANS, Jean-Pierre; DE SMET, Yves. PROMETHEE methods. In: Multiple criteria decision analysis. Springer, New York, NY, 2016. p. 187-219. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3094-4_6.

Moreira, M.A.L., dos Santos, M., Gomes, C.F.S. promethee123 package. <<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/promethee123>>

Examples

```
#Example from Fuzzy TOPSIS book (see references)
#ammended error in tab. 4.9, the computation presumes maximization of all criteria
PM <- cbind(
  c(80, 65, 83, 40, 52, 94),
  c(90, 58, 60, 80, 72, 96),
  c(600, 200, 400, 1000, 600, 700),
  c(54, 97, 72, 75, 20, 36),
  c(8, 1, 4, 7, 3, 5),
  c(5, 1, 7, 10, 8, 6)
)
colnames(PM) <- c('C1', 'C2', 'C3', 'C4', 'C5', 'C6')
rownames(PM) <- c('A1', 'A2', 'A3', 'A4', 'A5', 'A6')
minmax <- 'max'
shape <- c('U-shape', 'V-shape', 'linear', 'level', 'default', 'Gaussian')
q <- c(10, 0, 450, 50, 0, 0) #indifference threshold
p <- c(0, 30, 50, 10, 0, 0) #preference threshold
s <- c(0,0,0,0,0,5) #intermediate threshold
w <- c(0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1667, 0.1665)
result <- PROMETHEE_III(PM, shape, w, minmax, q, p, s)
```

rankDF

rankDF - function to unpack list of ranks into ordered dataframe of alternatives in ranks

Description

Takes list of ranks (ie. [1] "A1" [2] "A4" "A5" [3] "A2" [4] "A3") and unpacks them into dataframe with columns action and rank.

Example leads to dataframe: (action, rak) (A1, 1), (A4, 2), (A5, 2), (A2, 3), (A3, 4).

Usage

```
rankDF(ranklist)
```

Arguments

ranklist ordered list of ranked alternatives.

Value

returns dataframe with ranked alternatives from worst-to best.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Meyer, P. at al. MCDA package. GitHub: 2021, available from: <https://github.com/paterijk/MCDA/blob/master/>

SIR

*SIR : Superiority and inferiority ranking (SIR) method***Description**

SIR stands for Superiority and inferiority ranking (SIR) method. The method is interesting as it is computationwise closely related to PROMETHEE and TOPSIS methods. Similarly to them it computes preference based on flows.

The flows are computed based on S and I (superiority and inferiority) matrixes. Based on transformation of preference $P(A, A_2)$ from natural units to 0-1 according to 6 function (in same way as PROMETHEE computes them). S and I matrixes are defined:

$$S_j(A_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m P_j(A_i, A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m f_j(g_j(A_i) - g_j(A_k))$$

and

$$I_j(A_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m P_j(A_k, A_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m f_j(g_j(A_k) - g_j(A_i))$$

Where m is the number of alternatives, f_j is transformed value using function d .

Results in S and I matrixes need to be aggregated. The method supports two aggregation methods SIR-SAW or SIR-TOPSIS.

For SIR-SAW:

$$SFlow(A_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j S_j(A_i)$$

$$IFlow(A_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j I_j(A_i)$$

SIR-TOPSIS on the other hand uses computation of ideal and antiideal solution and computes S- and I- flows from it. See TOPSIS documentation for details.

This approach is used separately for both S and I matrixes. For computation of ideal and anti-ideal solution min and max functions are switched.

Directly from these flow complete rankings can be derived, or simple aggregation of them as net flow (difference between S and I flows) and relative flow as a closeness to addition of the S and I flows.

Usage

```
SIR(PM, d, w, indifferenceTreshold = NULL,
prefferenceThreshold = NULL, intermediateThreshold = NULL, SAW = T)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
d	vector, specifies type of function used to compute preferences. Need to be set for each criterion. Possible values are: 'default', 'U-shape', 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear', 'Gaussian'. Choice of function type will decide on what type of threshold (if any) is required for computation. Each criterion can use different preference function.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria. Values need to $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$, $\sum(w_i) = 1$
indifferenceTreshold	vector containing indifference threshods for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'U-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.
prefferenceThreshold	vector containing preference threshods for criteria. Not all types of performance functions require it. The parameter must be used if there is at least one criterion, for which it is required. Values for all other criteria should be 0 (and will not be used during computations). Only 'V-shape', 'level', 'linear' functions need this threshold.
intermediateThreshold	vector containing intermetiate thresholds for criteria. only Gaussian type performance functions rewuire this type of threshold. If preference and indifference thresholds are present, the PROMETHEE function will try to 'gues' intermediate threshold as value right in the middle between these thresholds.
SAW	implicit TRUE, if set TRUE will aggregate S and I matrixes using SIR-SAW method, otherwise it will use SIR-TOPSIS.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

superiorityFlow	vector of the alternatives derived from S matrix
inferityFlow	vector of the alternatives derived from I matrix
rankSFlow	vector of alternatives with assigned ranks (is possible to directly derive from superiorityFlow)
rankIFlow	vector of alternatives with assigned ranks (is possible to directly derive from inferiorityFlow)
partialRanking	matrix providing partial order of alternatives with P-preferred, I-indifferent and R-incomparable values
netFlow	differnce between superiority and inferiority flows
relativeFlow	expresses closeness to addition of the S and I flows
flows	matrix with all types of flows in one place

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

Papathanasiou, Jason, Ploskas, Nikolaos. Multiple Criteria Decision Aid Methods, Examples and Python Implementations. Springer, 173 p., ISBN 978-3-319-91648-4.

Examples

```
#Example from the book (see references)
PM <- rbind(
  c(8,7,2,1),
  c(5,3,7,5),
  c(7,5,6,4),
  c(9,9,7,3),
  c(11,10,3,7),
  c(6,9,5,4)
)
rownames(PM) <- c('Site 1', 'Site 2', 'Site 3', 'Site 4', 'Site 5', 'Site 6')
colnames(PM) <- c('Investment costs (million EUR)', 'Employment needs (hundred employees)', 'Social impact (1-7)',
  'Environmental impact (1-7)')
minmax <- 'max'
w <- c(0.4, 0.4, 0.1, 0.2)
shape <- c('linear', 'linear', 'linear', 'linear')
q <- c(1, 1, 1, 1)
p <- c(2,2,2,2)
s <- c(0,0,0,0)
result <- SIR(PM, w, shape, minmax, q, p, s, SAW=T)
```

TOPSIS

TOPSIS : method used to solve multiple criteria decision making

Description

The acronym TOPSIS stands for: Technique of Order Preference Similarity to the Ideal Solution. As name suggests TOPSIS provides its guidance based on evaluation of the similarity to both ideal and anti-ideal variant of the solution.

Original method uses 5 steps for the procedure. In first step the procedure normalizes values in performance matrix and applies weights to it in step 2. In step 3 ideal variant A^* and anti-ideal variant A^- is computed as maximums and minimums of the criteria in performance matrix.

In step 4 distance to ideal D^* and anti-ideal variant D^- is computed and in step 5 used to compute closeness criterium

$$C_i = \frac{D_i^-}{D_i^- + D_i^*}$$

Criterium C is then directly usable to rank alternatives. C is always in interval of 0-1, the closer the value is to 1, the closer it is to ideal variant.

Usage

TOPSIS(PM, w, minmax)

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria.
minmax	criteria MinMax Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria. "min" (resp."max") indicates that the criterion has to be minimized (maximized).

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows :

C	ordered list of alternatives using C criterium
normPM	normalized performance matrix
weightPM	weighted normalized performance matrix
A_ideal	positive ideal solution
A_anti	anti ideal solution
D_ideal	alternative closeness to ideal variant
D_anti	alternative closeness to anti-ideal variant

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

Papathanasiou, Jason, Ploskas, Nikolaos. Multiple Criteria Decision Aid Methods, Examples and Python Implementations. Springer, 173 p., ISBN 978-3-319-91648-4.

Examples

```
PM <- cbind(
  c(8,7,2,1),
  c(5,3,7,5),
  c(7,5,6,4),
  c(9,9,7,3),
  c(11,10,3,7),
  c(6,9,5,4)
)
colnames(PM) <- c('Site 1', 'Site 2', 'Site 3', 'Site 4', 'Site 5', 'Site 6')
rownames(PM) <- c('Investment costs (million EUR)', 'Employment needs (hundred employees)',
  'Social impact (1-7)', 'Environmental impact (1-7)')

PM <- t(PM)
minmax <- 'max'
w <- c(0.4, 0.4, 0.1, 0.2)
v <- 0.5
result <- TOPSIS(PM, w, minmax)
```

util_pm_minmax	<i>util_pm_minmax : Process performance matrix - reverts minimalized criteria in the matrix</i>
----------------	---

Description

Internal function the package uses to prepare performance matrix for computations requiring the criteria to use only maximized direction.

Usage

```
util_pm_minmax(PM, minmaxcriteria)
```

Arguments

PM performance matrix - criteria are expected in the columns, alternatives in rows, only numeric values allowed.

minmaxcriteria provides either 'min' or 'max' (default) values if all criteria should be minimalized or maximalized. If it is not case provide vector specifying either 'max' or 'min' for each criterion.

Value

Returns processed performance matrix with all criteria to be maximized

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Examples

```
alternatives <- c('BLR', 'BOM', 'DEL', 'MNL', 'HYD', 'GRU', 'DUB', 'KRK', 'MAA', 'EZE')
criteria <- c('tInt', 'stab', 'cost', 'infl', 'tm-zn', 'infr', "life")
M <- rbind(
  c(0.8181818, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.750),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.6666667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(1.0000000, 0.1814159, 0.8333333, 0.1198582, 0, 0.6, 0.125),
  c(0.8181818, 0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.3482143, 0, 0.6, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 1.0000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.375),
  c(0.1818182, 0.1814159, 0.5000000, 0.1198582, 0, 0.2, 0.125),
  c(0.0000000, 1.0000000, 0.0000000, 0.5741667, 1, 1.0, 1.000),
  c(0.3636364, 0.7787611, 0.6666667, 1.0000000, 1, 0.0, 0.500),
  c(0.4545455, 0.1814159, 0.9166667, 0.1198582, 0, 0.4, 0.000),
  c(0.1818182, 0.6283186, 0.5833333, 0.0000000, 0, 0.4, 0.125)
)
rownames(M) <- alternatives
colnames(M) <- criteria
minmaxcriteria <- c('max', 'max', 'min', 'min', 'max', 'max', 'max')
maxed <- util_pm_minmax(M, minmaxcriteria)
```

util_prepare_minmaxcriteria

util_prepare_minmaxcriteria : validates and prepares minmax vector for processing

Description

Internal function package uses to validate vector containing information on criteria optimization direction (minimizing or maximizing). Since this operation is required for almost all decision analysis functions, it has been refactored into separate function.

If provided with direction to min or max - it will create the minmaxcriteria vector minimizing or maximizing all the criteria.

Usage

```
util_prepare_minmaxcriteria(ncrit, minmaxcriteria)
```

Arguments

`ncrit` number of criteria used in decision problem (must be > 2)

`minmaxcriteria` provides either 'min' or 'max' (default) values if all criteria should be minimized or maximalized. If it is not case provide vector specifying either 'max' or 'min' for each criterion.

Value

Returns validated and completed vector with information on minimizing or maximizing criteria.

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

Examples

```
#5 maximized criteria
minmax <- util_prepare_minmaxcriteria(5)
#5 minimized criteria
minmax <- util_prepare_minmaxcriteria(5, minmaxcriteria = 'min')
#mix
minmax <- util_prepare_minmaxcriteria(5, c('min', 'min', 'max', 'max', 'min'))
```

Description

The acronym VIKOR stands for: VlseKriterijumska Optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje, in Serbian multicriteria optimization and compromise solution. The method has been especially designed to deal with problematic situations when the alternatives are characterized by non-commensurable and conflicting criteria, for which VIKOR provides compromise solution. Methodologically VIKOR is close to another method TOPSIS. Original VIKOR uses five steps to derive such compromise solution.

Step 1: determine best and worst values of all criteria by searching for min and max values in the performance matrix for all criteria.

$$f_j^* = \max_i f_{ij}$$

$$f_j^- = \min_i f_{ij}$$

Step 2: compute values of S_i and R_i

$$S_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j(f_j^* - f_{ij})}{f_j^* - f_j^-}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$R_i = \max_j \frac{w_j(f_j^* - f_{ij})}{f_j^* - f_j^-}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where n is number of criteria, m number of alternatives and w are criteria weights.

Step 3: compute values of Q_i

$$Q_i = v \frac{S_i - S^*}{S^- - S^*} + (1 - v) \frac{R_i - R^*}{R^- - R^*}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

where $S^* = \min_i S_i$, $S^- = \max_i S_i$, $R^* = \min_i R_i$, $R^- = \max_i R_i$ and v is the weight for strategy of majority of the criteria.

Note that the v has in Q_i connection to $1 - v$ (individual regret). By specifying various values of v one can influence impact left or right term of the equation has on overall result. $v \in (0, 1)$, where $v = 0.5$ means balance between both terms. If function's parameter v is not set the procedure will approximate its value by:

$$v = \frac{n + 1}{2n}$$

Step 4: order alternatives by S , R , Q

Step 5: propose compromise solution

The compromise solution is identified by order of Q , looking at the S or R depending on v . If the winner is the same, we have solution. Otherwise compromise solution needs to be made using $1/(m - 1)$ value as test criterion. Compromise is formed from all alternatives in Q where the difference between first and tested value is lower than this criterion.

Usage

```
VIKOR(PM, w, minmax, v)
```

Arguments

PM	Matrix or data frame containing the performance table. Each row corresponds to an alternative, and each column to a criterion. Only numeric values expected. Rows and columns are expected to be named.
w	vector containing the weights of the criteria.
minmax	criteria MinMax Vector containing the preference direction on each of the criteria. "min" (resp."max") indicates that the criterion has to be minimized (maximized).
v	weight for strategy of majority of the criteria in interval (0-1). If no value provided procedure assigns value 0.5

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows :

S	ordered list of alternatives using S-metric
R	ordered list of alternatives using R-metric
Q	ordered list of alternatives using Q-metric
compromiseSolution	list of alternatives forming compromise solution (based on Q, S, R metrics)

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

Papathanasiou, Jason, Ploskas, Nikolaos. Multiple Criteria Decision Aid Methods, Examples and Python Implementations. Springer, 173 p., ISBN 978-3-319-91648-4.

Examples

```
PM <- cbind(
  c(8,7,2,1),
  c(5,3,7,5),
  c(7,5,6,4),
  c(9,9,7,3),
  c(11,10,3,7),
  c(6,9,5,4)
)
colnames(PM) <- c('Site 1', 'Site 2', 'Site 3', 'Site 4', 'Site 5', 'Site 6')
rownames(PM) <- c('Investment costs (million EUR)', 'Employment needs (hundred employees)',
  'Social impact (1-7)', 'Environmental impact (1-7)')

PM <- t(PM)
minmax <- 'max'
w <- c(0.4, 0.4, 0.1, 0.2)
v <- 0.5
result <- VIKOR(PM, w, minmax, v)
```

VIKORIndexes	<i>VIKORIndexes : subroutine to compute S & R index in VIKOR and FuzzyVIKOR methods</i>
--------------	---

Description

The acronym VIKOR stands for: VlseKriterijumska Optimizacija I Kompromisno Resenje, in Serbian multicriteria optimization and compromise solution.

The method presents generalized solution for computation of S and R indexes using wights, performance matrix (crisp performance matrix if using fuzzy numbers) and information on best and worst values in criteria.

Usage

```
VIKORIndexes(car, bw_perf, cw)
```

Arguments

car	array with the performances (crisp alternative ratings in fuzzy variant). Alternatives are in rows, criteria in columns
bw_perf	matrix with the best, worst performances and differences between them. Has columns (best, worst, difference) and rows for criteria
cw	vector containing the weights of the criteria.

Value

The function returns a list structured as follows:

S	ordered list of alternatives using S-metric
R	ordered list of alternatives using R-metric
Q	ordered list of alternatives using Q-metric
compromiseSolution	list of alternatives forming compromise solution (based on Q, S, R metrics)

Author(s)

Pavel Šenovský <pavel.senovsky@vsb.cz>

References

ALAOUI, Mohamed El. Fuzzy TOPSIS: Logic, Approaches, and Case Studies. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2021. 216 s. ISBN 978-0-367-76748-8.

Papathanasiou, Jason, Ploskas, Nikolaos. Multiple Criteria Decision Aid Methods, Examples and Python Implementations. Springer, 173 p., ISBN 978-3-319-91648-4.

- SIR, 55
- * **SIR**
 - SIR, 55
- * **Sorting problem**
 - Electre_TRI, 21
 - MCDASupport-package, 2
- * **TOPSIS**
 - FuzzyTOPSIS, 25
 - SIR, 55
 - TOPSIS, 57
- * **VIKOR**
 - VIKOR, 61
 - VIKORIndexes, 63
- * **WSM**
 - mcd_a_wsm, 33
- * **Z-Score**
 - norm_zscore, 43
- * **adjancancy matrix**
 - Graph2AdjancancyMatrix, 29
- * **ascending distillation**
 - Electre_asc_dist, 17
 - rankDF, 54
- * **concordance matrix**
 - Electre3_ConcordanceIndex, 5
 - Electre_1, 6
 - Electre_1S, 8
 - Electre_2, 10
 - ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix, 18
- * **credibility matrix**
 - Electre_3, 13
 - Electre_4, 15
- * **descending distillation**
 - Electre_desc_dist, 19
 - rankDF, 54
- * **discordance matrix**
 - Electre_2, 10
 - ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix, 20
- * **discordane matrix**
 - Electre_1, 6
 - Electre_1S, 8
- * **domination**
 - mcd_a_del_dominated, 30
 - mcd_a_get_dominated, 31
 - plot.prefM, 43
- * **final ranking**
 - finalRanking, 24
- * **global concordance matrix**
 - Electre_3, 13
- * **graph**
 - Graph2AdjancancyMatrix, 29
- * **inferiority flow**
 - SIR, 55
- * **kernel**
 - ELECTRE1_Kernel, 4
 - Electre_1S, 8
- * **linear normalization by aggregating values**
 - norm_linearagreg, 35
- * **logarithmic normalization**
 - norm_logarithm, 36
- * **min-max**
 - norm_minmax, 37
- * **multicriteria analysis**
 - Electre_3, 13
 - Electre_4, 15
 - MCDASupport-package, 2
- * **negative flow**
 - PROMETHEE, 46
- * **net flow**
 - SIR, 55
- * **nonlinear normalization**
 - norm_nonlinear, 38
- * **normalization**
 - MCDASupport-package, 2
 - norm_LaiHwang, 34
 - norm_linearagreg, 35
 - norm_logarithm, 36
 - norm_markovic, 37
 - norm_minmax, 37
 - norm_nonlinear, 38
 - norm_toaverage, 39
 - norm_tobest, 40
 - norm_TzengHuang, 40
 - norm_vector, 41
 - norm_ZavadskasTurskis, 42
 - norm_zscore, 43
- * **normalize to average value**
 - norm_toaverage, 39
- * **normalize to best value**
 - norm_tobest, 40
- * **package**
 - MCDASupport-package, 2
- * **performance score**
 - plot.scoreM, 44
- * **positive flow**
 - PROMETHEE, 46
- * **pre-order matrix**
 - pre_order_matrix, 45
- * **pre-order**
 - Electre_asc_dist, 17
 - Electre_desc_dist, 19
 - pre_order_matrix, 45
 - rankDF, 54
- * **preference function**
 - PROMETHEE, 46

- * **preference modelling**
 - Electre_3, 13
 - Electre_4, 15
 - MCDASupport-package, 2
 - * **pseudo-criterion**
 - Electre_3, 13
 - * **relative flow**
 - SIR, 55
 - * **scaling**
 - mcd_a_rescale_pm, 32
 - * **standard score**
 - norm_zscore, 43
 - * **strong outranking**
 - Electre_2, 10
 - * **superiority flow**
 - SIR, 55
 - * **vector normalization**
 - norm_vector, 41
 - * **weak outranking**
 - Electre_2, 10
 - * **weighted sum method**
 - mcd_a_wsm, 33
- ELECTRE1_Kernel, 4
- Electre3_ConcordanceIndex, 5
- Electre_1, 4, 6, 19, 21
- Electre_1S, 4, 6, 8
- Electre_2, 10
- Electre_3, 6, 13
- Electre_4, 15
- Electre_asc_dist, 17
- ELECTRE_ConcordanceMatrix, 18, 21
- Electre_desc_dist, 19
- ELECTRE_DiscordanceMatrix, 19, 20
- Electre_TRI, 21
- finalRanking, 24
- FuzzyTOPSIS, 25
- FuzzyVIKOR, 27
- Graph2AdjancancyMatrix, 29
- mcd_a_del_dominated, 30
- mcd_a_get_dominated, 31
- mcd_a_rescale_pm, 32
- mcd_a_wsm, 33
- MCDASupport-package, 2
- MCDASupport-package-package
(MCDASupport-package), 2
- norm_LaiHwang, 34
- norm_linearagreg, 35
- norm_logarithm, 36
- norm_markovic, 37
- norm_minmax, 37
- norm_nonlinear, 38
- norm_toaverage, 39
- norm_tobest, 40
- norm_TzengHuang, 40
- norm_vector, 41
- norm_ZavadskasTurskis, 42
- norm_zscore, 43
- plot (plot.prefM), 43
- plot.prefM, 43
- plot.scoreM, 44
- pre_order_matrix, 45
- PROMETHEE, 46
- PROMETHEE_I, 48
- PROMETHEE_II, 50
- PROMETHEE_III, 52
- rankDF, 54
- SIR, 55
- TOPSIS, 57
- util_pm_minmax, 59
- util_prepare_minmaxcriteria, 60
- VIKOR, 61
- VIKORIndexes, 63